Sunrise integrals and elliptic polylogarithms

Christian Bogner

(Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

joint work with Luise Adams and Stefan Weinzier

arXiv:1504.03255 [hep-ph]

J. Math. Phys. 55, 102301 (2014), arXiv:1405.5640 [hep-ph], $% M_{\rm e}$

J. Math. Phys. 54, 052303 (2013), arXiv:1302.7004 [hep-ph].

Amplitudes 2015, Zürich, 09.07. 2015





We compute the massive sunrise integral

$$S(D, t) = \int \frac{d^D k_1 d^D k_2}{\left(i\pi^{D/2}\right)^2} \frac{1}{\left(-k_1^2 + m_1^2\right) \left(-k_2^2 + m_2^2\right) \left(-\left(p - k_1 - k_2\right)^2 + m_3^2\right)}$$

in $D = 2 - 2\epsilon$ and $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} S(2-2\epsilon, t) &= S^{(0)}(2, t) + S^{(1)}(2, t)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \\ S(4-2\epsilon, t) &= S^{(-2)}(4, t)\epsilon^{-2} + S^{(-1)}(4, t)\epsilon^{-1} + S^{(0)}(4, t) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} t & = & p^2 \leq 0, \\ 0 & < & m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3 < m_1 + m_2. \end{array}$$

Motivation:

Multiple polylogarithms

$$\mathrm{Li}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \ge 1} \frac{z_1^{n_1} \dots z_k^{n_k}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}, \ s_i \ge 1, \ |z_i| < 1$$

are very useful in the computation of Feynman integrals due to their double nature as **nested sums** and **iterated integrals**. (see Panzer's talk)

Many Feynman integrals can be expressed in terms of these functions, but apparently not all of them. (Bauberger, Böhm, Weiglein, Berends, Buza 1994, Caron-Huot, Larsen 2012, Nandan, Paulos, Spradlin, Volovich 2013)

The **massive sunrise** may be the simples example, where multiple polylogarithms are **not sufficient**.

Which functions can we use instead?

Perspective 1: Generalized hypergeometric functions

Berends, Buza, Böhm and Scharf (1994) expressed S(D, t) as a linear combination of type C Lauricella functions

$$F_{C}\left(\alpha_{1}-A_{1}D, \, \alpha_{2}-A_{2}D; \, \beta_{1}-B_{1}D, \, \beta_{2}-B_{2}D, \, \beta_{3}-B_{3}D; \, \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{t}, \, \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{t}, \, \frac{m_{3}^{2}}{t}\right),$$

with all $\alpha_i, \, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i, \, B_i$ half-integers. They are defined by

$$F_{C}(a_{1}, a_{2}; b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) = \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{3}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{1})_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}(a_{2})_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}}{(b_{1})_{n_{1}}(b_{2})_{n_{2}}(b_{3})_{n_{3}}} \frac{x_{1}^{n_{1}} x_{2}^{n_{2}} x_{3}^{n_{3}}}{n_{1}! n_{2}! n_{3}!}$$

Remark: Techniques for the **expansion** of generalized hypergeometric functions today extend to certain Lauricella functions (e.g. Bytev, Kalmykov and Moch 2014), but the expansion of F_C remains a problem. No multiple polylogarithms?

Perspective 2: Feynman parameters

In D = 2 dimensions, the Feynman parametric version of the sunrise integral

$$\begin{aligned} S(2, t) &= \int_{\sigma} \frac{\omega}{\mathcal{F}}, \\ \omega &= x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + x_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_1 + x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \\ \sigma &= \{ [x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in \mathbb{P}^2 | x_i \ge 0, i = 1, 2, 3 \} \end{aligned}$$

involves the second Symanzik polynomial

$$\mathcal{F} = -x_1 x_2 x_3 t + \left(x_1 m_1^2 + x_2 m_2^2 + x_3 m_3^2\right) \left(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3\right).$$

Remark: \mathcal{F} fails the criterion of linear reducibility (Brown 2008)

 \Rightarrow Direct iterated integration is not possible in the variables x_1, x_2, x_3

Perspective 3: Differential equations (see talks by Tancredi, Henn, von Manteuffel)

The sunrise integral S(D, t) satisfies an inhomogeneous fourth-order differential equation (Caffo, Czyz, Laporta, Remiddi 1998) in t:

$$\left(P_4\frac{d^4}{dt^4} + P_3\frac{d^3}{dt^3} + P_2\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + P_1\frac{d^1}{dt^1} + P_0\right)S(D, t) = c_{12}T_{12} + c_{13}T_{13} + c_{23}T_{23}$$

where T_{ij} are products of two tadpole integrals of propagators with masses m_i and m_j and where all P_k and c_{ij} are polynomials in m_1^2 , m_2^2 , m_3^2 , t, D.

Each of the ϵ -coefficients $S^{(0)}(2, t)$, $S^{(1)}(2, t)$, $S^{(0)}(4, t)$ satisfies an inhomogeneous differential equation of second or higher order.

Remark: None of these differential operators factorizes completely into first order operators. If this would be the case, we could solve simply by iterated integration.

In D = 2 dimensions:

Equal mass case: Second order differential equation (Broadhurst, Fleischer, Tarasov 1993);

Solutions Groote, Pivovarov 2000, Laporta, Remiddi 2004, Bloch, Vanhove 2013 ...

Arbitrary masses

Caffo, Czyz, Laporta, Remiddi (1998): Coupled system of four equations of first order Müller-Stach, Weinzierl, Zayadeh (2012): One differential equation of second order

$$\left(p_2(t)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}+p_1(t)\frac{d}{dt}+p_0(t)\right)S^{(0)}(2, t)=p_3(t)$$

 $p_0(t)$, $p_1(t)$, $p_2(t)$: polynomials in t and the m_i^2 ; $p_3(t)$: also involving $\ln(m_i^2)$, i = 1, 2, 3.

Standard Ansatz:

$$S^{(0)}(2, t) = C_1\psi_1(t) + C_2\psi_2(t) + \int_0^t dt_1 \frac{p_3(t_1)}{p_0(t_1)W(t_1)} \left(-\psi_1(t)\psi_2(t_1) + \psi_2(t)\psi_1(t_1)\right)$$

 ψ_1, ψ_2 : solutions of the homogeneous equation; C_1, C_2 : constants; W(t): Wronski determinant.

Underlying geometry:

Second Symanzik polynomial:

$$\mathcal{F} = -x_1 x_2 x_3 t + \left(x_1 m_1^2 + x_2 m_2^2 + x_3 m_3^2\right) \left(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3\right).$$

The variety $\mathcal{F} = 0$ intersects the integration domain at **three points** $P_1 = [1:0:0], P_2 = [0:1:0], P_3 = [0:0:1].$ Coosing one of these as **origin** defines an **elliptic curve**.

Transform to Weierstrass normal form $y^2z - x^3 - g_2(t)xz^2 - g_3(t)z^3 = 0$.

For z = 1 define e_1 , e_2 , e_3 by $y^2 = 4(x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ with $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$.

 \Rightarrow Two **period integrals** of the elliptic curve are

$$\psi_1 = 2 \int_{e_2}^{e_3} \frac{dx}{y} = \frac{4}{D^{\frac{1}{4}}} K(k), \ \psi_2 = 2 \int_{e_1}^{e_3} \frac{dx}{y} = \frac{4i}{D^{\frac{1}{4}}} K(k')$$

with the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K(x) = \int_0^1 dt \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-x^2t^2)}}$, and modulus $k = \sqrt{\frac{e_3-e_2}{e_1-e_2}}$, $k' = \sqrt{1-k^2} = \sqrt{\frac{e_1-e_3}{e_1-e_3}}$. The **period integrals** ψ_1 , ψ_2 are **solutions** of the **homogeneous** differential equation.

The constants C_1 , C_2 are determined from a simple property of ψ_1 , ψ_2 and the limit of $S^{(0)}(2, t)$ at t = 0 (Davydychev, Tausk 1996).

 \Rightarrow We obtain $S^{(0)}(2, t)$ as an integral over a combination of complete elliptic integrals of the first and second type (Adams, C.B., Weinzierl 2013).

Disadvantage: Elliptic integrals are well known in mathematics, but **integrals over elliptic integrals** are not. \iff No framework for iterated integrals.

Is there an alternative, "closer to" multiple polylogarithms?

Important step by Bloch and Vanhove (2013) for the equal mass case:

New result in terms of an elliptic dilogarithm.



Elliptic functions f with respect to L: $f(x) = f(x + \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in L$.



Elliptic functions f with respect to L: $f(x) = f(x + \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in L$.



Elliptic functions f with respect to L: $f(x) = f(x + \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in L$.



Elliptic functions f with respect to L: $f(x) = f(x + \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in L$.

Let $\tau = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2}$ with ψ_1 , ψ_2 the periods of an elliptic curve E.

 \Rightarrow *E* is isomorphic to a cell of *L*. \Rightarrow Consider *f* as a function defined on *E*.

Change variables to $z = e^{2\pi i x} \in \mathbb{C}^{\star}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{Ellipticity} \ f(x) = f(x + \lambda) \ \mathsf{means} \ \tilde{f}(z) = \tilde{f}(z \cdot q_{\lambda}), \ q_{\lambda} \in e^{2\pi i \lambda} \ \mathsf{for} \ \lambda \in L.$

Particularly: $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

Basic concept: For some function g **construct** an elliptic function of the type $f(z, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z \cdot q^n)$

E.g. Brown, Levin 2011 consider elliptic polylogarithms $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u^n \operatorname{Li}_m (z \cdot q^n)$,

elliptic multiple polylogarithms and a framework of iterated integrals

(Also see previous definitions in Bloch 1977, Beilinson, Levin 1994, Levin 1997, Levin, Racinet 2007, ...)

Adams, C.B., Weinzierl 2014: Generalizing $\operatorname{Li}_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j^n}$ we define

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x^{-1}) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x; y; q) - \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x^{-1}; y^{-1}; q) \right) &, n + m \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x^{-1}) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x; y; q) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x^{-1}; y^{-1}; q) &, n + m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

With these definitions we have for example

$$\mathrm{E}_{2;0}(x;\,y;\,q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u^n \mathrm{Li}_2(z \cdot q^n) + \text{sum over squared logarithms and } \zeta(2).$$

With this function, we obtain

$$S^{(0)}(2, t) = \frac{\psi_1(q)}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_{2;0}(w_i(q); -1; -q) \text{ where } q = e^{\pi i \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2}}.$$

The arguments w_1 , w_2 , w_3 are obtained from the intersection points P_1 , P_2 , P_3 by above transformations of the elliptic curve.

$$\begin{aligned} S(2-2\epsilon, t) &= S^{(0)}(2, t) + S^{(1)}(2, t)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \\ S(4-2\epsilon, t) &= S^{(-2)}(4, t)\epsilon^{-2} + S^{(-1)}(4, t)\epsilon^{-1} + S^{(0)}(4, t) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Using Tarasov's method (1996, 1997), we express $S^{(0)}(4, t)$ as linear combination of

$$S^{(0)}(2, t), S^{(1)}(2, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial m_i^2} S^{(0)}(2, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial m_i^2} S^{(1)}(2, t), i = 1, 2, 3.$$

 $S^{(1)}(2, t)$ satisfies a differential equation

$$L_{1,a}L_{1,b}L_2S^{(1)}(2, t) = I_1(t).$$

This can be solved for $L_2S^{(1)}(2, t)$ and gives

$$L_2 S^{(1)}(2, t) = I_2(t).$$

Solving this equation, we obtain results for $S^{(1)}(2, t)$ and $S^{(0)}(4, t)$. (Adams, C.B., Weinzierl 2015)

In these results, we find the functions ${\rm E}_{1;\,0},\,{\rm E}_{2;\,0},\,{\rm E}_{3;\,1}$ and a more complicated quadruple sum.

The functions $\mathrm{E}_{1;\,0},\,\mathrm{E}_{2;\,0},\,\mathrm{E}_{3;\,1}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ELi}_{n;m}(x;y;q) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j^n} \frac{y^k}{k^m} q^{jk} \\ & \operatorname{E}_{n;m}(x;y;q) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x^{-1}) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x; y; q) - \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x^{-1}; y^{-1}; q) \right) &, n + m \text{ even}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2(x^{-1}) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x; y; q) + \text{ELi}_{2;0}(x^{-1}; y^{-1}; q) &, n + m \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

can be seen as generalizations of Clausen and Glaisher functions:

$$\operatorname{Cl}_{n}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2i} \left(\operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{i\varphi} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{-i\varphi} \right) \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{i\varphi} \right) + \operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{-i\varphi} \right) \right) \end{cases} & \operatorname{Gl}_{n}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2i} \left(\operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{i\varphi} \right) + \operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{-i\varphi} \right) \right) & , n \text{ even,} \\ \frac{1}{2i} \left(\operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{i\varphi} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_{n} \left(e^{-i\varphi} \right) \right) & , n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{q\to 0} \mathrm{E}_{1;0}\left(e^{i\varphi};\,y;\,q\right) &= \mathrm{Cl}_{1}\left(\varphi\right),\\ &\lim_{q\to 0} \mathrm{E}_{2;0}\left(e^{i\varphi};\,y;\,q\right) &= \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\varphi\right),\\ &\lim_{q\to 0} \mathrm{E}_{3;1}\left(e^{i\varphi};\,y;\,q\right) &= \mathrm{Gl}_{3}\left(\varphi\right). \end{split}$$

Conclusions:

An **elliptic curve**, defined by the second Symanzik polynomial \mathcal{F} is very useful in the computation of the sunrise integral.

In D = 2 we obtain an elliptic generalization $E_{2;0}$ of the dilogarithm $Li_2(z)$ with arguments obtained from the elliptic curve.

In $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ we obtain a result furthermore involving $E_{1;0}$, $E_{2;0}$, $E_{3;1}$.

A further investigation of these functions and their relation with elliptic iterated integrals (Brown, Levin 2010, Broedel, Mafra, Mattthes, Schlotterer 2014) will be interesting.