Topologically massive gravity and the AdS/CFT correspondence

Balt van Rees

8 September 2009

Based on work with K. Skenderis and M. Taylor: arXiv:0906.4926

Three-dimensional pure Einstein gravity is locally trivial

This changes when we add a gravitational Chern-Simons term to the action:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \Big(\int d^3x \sqrt{-G} (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int d^3x (\Gamma d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3}\Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma) \Big)$$

This gives a third-order equation of motion:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}RG_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\mu}(\epsilon_{\mu}^{\ \alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}R_{\beta\nu} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0$$

which does allow for local degrees of freedom in a three-dimensional theory of gravity Problems with stability. For $\Lambda < 0$:

- perturbative solutions around AdS₃ have negative energy (in our conventions)
- BTZ black hole has positive energy

Deser, Jackiw, Templeton (1982)

Topologically massive gravity recently received more attention

Li, Song, Strominger (2008)

Inspired by renewed interest in three-dimensional Einstein gravity Search for a possible dual CFT ($\Lambda < 0)$

Witten (2007)

What would be the dual CFT for topologically massive gravity with $\Lambda < 0?$

Is it consistent, unitary? Can we learn anything about higher-dimensional theories?

- + Dynamics might give a more realistic theory
- Problems with positivity of energy

Some properties of TMG

Action for $\Lambda = -1$:

$$\begin{split} S &= \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^3x \sqrt{-G} (R+2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{32\pi G_N \mu} \int d^3x \sqrt{-G} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Big(\Gamma^{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma} \partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\nu} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\tau} \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\rho} \Big) \end{split}$$

Equations of motion:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}RG_{\mu\nu} - G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu}C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}R_{\beta\nu} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu$$

Properties of the Cotton tensor:

$$C^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 \qquad \nabla^{\mu}C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

If $G_{\mu\nu}$ is Einstein, so $R_{\mu\nu} = -2G_{\mu\nu}$, then $C_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is also a solution of TMG All solutions $G_{\mu\nu}$ of TMG have R = -6 We investigate the spectrum around an AdS₃ background:

$$G_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -(r^2+1)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2+1} + r^2d\phi^2$$

Consider a small variation of the metric:

$$G_{\mu\nu} \to G_{\mu\nu} + H_{\mu\nu}$$

The equation of motion gives a third-order linear differential equation for $H_{\mu\nu}$ The solutions can be classified by the symmetry algebra $\sim SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ with generators L_0, L_{-1}, L_1 and $\overline{L}_0, \overline{L}_{-1}, \overline{L}_1$

We search for primary perturbations that are:

- annihilated by L_1 and \overline{L}_1
- eigenfunctions of L_0 and \overline{L}_0 :

$$L_0 H_{\mu\nu} = h H_{\mu\nu} \qquad \bar{L}_0 H_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h} H_{\mu\nu}$$

where $L_0 = \frac{i}{2}(\partial_t + \partial_\phi)$ and $\bar{L}_0 = \frac{i}{2}(\partial_t - \partial_\phi)$

For generic μ , there exist three primary solutions $H^L_{\mu\nu}$, $H^R_{\mu\nu}$, $H^M_{\mu\nu}$ with:

$$L_0 H^L = 2H^L \qquad \bar{L}_0 H^L = 0$$

$$L_0 H^R = 0 \qquad \bar{L}_0 H^R = 2H^R$$

$$L_0 H^M = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 3)H^M \qquad \bar{L}_0 H^M = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 1)H^M$$

For $\mu = 1$ the modes H^L and H^M coincide

Li, Song, Strominger (2008)

However, for $\mu = 1$ a new mode $\tilde{H}^M_{\mu\nu}$ arises for which:

$$L_0 \tilde{H}^M = 2\tilde{H}^M + H^L \qquad \qquad \bar{L}_0 \tilde{H}^M = H^L$$

Hints at a *logarithmic* CFT with \tilde{H}^M the logarithmic partner of H^L This mode has different falloff conditions $(\log(r)/r^2 \text{ vs. } 1/r^2)$

Grumiller, Johansson (2008)

Questions:

- Is TMG at $\mu = 1$ dual to a logarithmic CFT? If so, what is the precise AdS/CFT dictionary for TMG?
- Can we allow the different falloff conditions?

Setting up an AdS/CFT dictionary

Aim: compute CFT correlators from a bulk theory with action \boldsymbol{S} using

 $Z_{\rm CFT} \sim \exp(-S_{\rm on-shell})$

GKP, Witten (1998)

Procedure:

- Write down equations of motion from ${\boldsymbol S}$
- Perform an asymptotic analysis near the conformal boundary of spacetime
 - Fix the *leading* behaviour of the fields (asymptotically AdS, sources $\phi_{(0)}$)
 - Solve the equations of motion asymptotically
 - We find an asymptotic expansion of every possible bulk solution
 - In particular, the possible *subleading* behaviour of the fields is determined dynamically
- This asymptotic solution can be substituted into \boldsymbol{S} and leads to divergences
- Holographically renormalize by adding a boundary counterterm action S_{ct} to S
- The renormalized action $S_{\text{ren}} = S + S_{\text{ct}}$ is finite on-shell
- Find the full solution to the equations of motion with sources $\phi_{(0)}$ (perhaps perturbatively)
- Substitute this solution into $S_{\rm ren}$ which gives $S_{\rm on-shell,ren}[\phi_{(0)}]$
- Use $Z_{CFT}[\phi_{(0)}] \sim \exp(-S_{on-shell,ren}[\phi_{(0)}])$ to compute correlation functions

Skenderis (2002)

Asymptotic analysis

We will now work in Fefferman-Graham coordinates. The metric takes the form:

$$G_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}g_{ij}(x,\rho)dx^i dx^j$$

For an asymptotically AdS spacetime, the conformal boundary is at $\rho = 0$ and:

$$g_{ij}(x,\rho) = g_{(0)ij}(x) + \dots$$

where $g_{(0)ij}$ is nondegenerate

For TMG, the equations of motion for $\mu = 1$ give the most general asymptotic solution:

$$g_{ij} = b_{(0)ij} \log(\rho) + g_{(0)ij} + b_{(2)ij} \rho \log(\rho) + \rho g_{(2)ij} + \dots$$

Following the usual AdS/CFT dictionary, we interpret the leading terms as CFT sources

$$g_{(0)ij} \leftrightarrow T_{ij} \qquad b_{(0)ij} \leftrightarrow t_{ij}$$

The subleading terms $b_{(2)ij}$ and $g_{(2)ij}$ are *partially* determined by the asymptotic analysis and these terms enter in the one-point functions

Holographic renormalization

We substitute the asymptotic expansion in the action for TMG and find divergences (e.g. a volume divergence)

We need to *holographically renormalize* by adding a boundary counterterm action S_{ct} However, the most general asymptotic solution is:

 $g_{ij} = b_{(0)ij} \log(\rho) + g_{(0)ij} + b_{(2)ij} \rho \log(\rho) + \rho g_{(2)ij} + \dots$

For nonzero $b_{(0)ij}$, this is no longer asymptotically AdS

- we cannot do an all-orders renormalization
- we treat $b_{(0)ij}$ as infinitesimal and renormalize perturbatively
- in the dual theory $b_{(0)ij}$ sources a (marginally) irrelevant operator and the boundary theory with finite $b_{(0)ij}$ is only no longer completely renormalizable

We did a linearized analysis at the level of the equation of motion

- \rightarrow This is equivalent to a *quadratic* analysis at the level of the action so we computed S_{ren} to second order in $b_{(0)ij}$
- \rightarrow This is sufficient to compute two-point functions

Full linearized solutions

We begin with an AdS3 background

$$ds^{2} = \frac{d\rho^{2}}{4\rho^{2}} + \frac{1}{\rho}g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \qquad \qquad g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} = dzd\bar{z}$$

and study perturbations:

$$g_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij} + h_{ij}$$

At the linearized level we find:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{z\bar{z}} &= \qquad h_{(0)z\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\rho\log(\rho)\partial^{2}b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \rho h_{(2)z\bar{z}}[h_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] + \dots \\ h_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} &= b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}}\log(\rho) + h_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\rho\log(\rho)\bar{\partial}\partial b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \rho h_{(2)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \dots \\ h_{zz} &= \qquad h_{(0)zz} + \frac{1}{2}\rho\log(\rho)b_{(2)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \rho h_{(2)zz} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

with $h_{(2)z\bar{z}}[h_{(0)}, b_{(0)}] = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 h_{(0)z\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^2 h_{(0)zz} + \bar{\partial}\partial h_{(0)z\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 b_{(0)z\bar{z}}.$

We search for regular solutions as $\rho \to \infty$ which constrains the subleading terms to be:

$$\begin{split} h_{(2)\bar{z}\bar{z}} &= \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial} h_{(2)z\bar{z}} + \frac{4\gamma - 3}{2} \bar{\partial} \partial b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} \\ b_{(2)\bar{z}\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\bar{\partial}} b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} \\ h_{(2)zz} &= \left(2\gamma - 1 + \log(-\partial\bar{\partial})\right) \frac{\partial^3}{\bar{\partial}} b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\partial}} h_{(2)z\bar{z}} \end{split}$$

After holographic renormalization we find the one-point functions from:

$$\langle T_{ij} \rangle = 4\pi \frac{\delta S_{\rm TMG, \ on-shell, \ ren}}{\delta h_{(0)}^{ij}} \qquad \qquad \langle t_{zz} \rangle = -4\pi \frac{\delta S_{\rm TMG, \ on-shell, \ ren}}{\delta b_{(0)}^{zz}}$$

We for example find:

$$\langle T_{zz}\rangle = -\frac{1}{2G_N}b_{(2)zz} + \mathrm{local} = -\frac{1}{4G_N} \Big(\frac{\partial^3}{\bar{\partial}}b_{(0)\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \mathrm{local}\Big)$$

which is a linear and nonlocal function of the sources

Differentiating once more with respect to the sources we obtain the two-point functions:

$$\langle t(z,\bar{z})t(0)\rangle = \frac{3}{G_N} \frac{\log(m^2|z|^2)}{z^4} \qquad \langle t(z,\bar{z})T(0)\rangle \qquad = \frac{-3/G_N}{2z^4}$$

$$\langle T(z,\bar{z})T(0)\rangle = 0 \qquad \langle \bar{T}(z,\bar{z})\bar{T}(0)\rangle \qquad = \frac{3/G_N}{2\bar{z}^4}$$

where $t = t_{zz}$, $T = T_{zz}$ and $\bar{T} = T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$

We read off that:

$$c_L = 0$$
 $c_R = 3/G_N$

and we find logarithmic correlation functions

Logarithmic CFT

We indeed find the structure of a logarithmic CFT (Gurarie 1993) for topologically massive gravity at $\mu=1$

Such CFT's have logarithms in correlation functions which are related to an indecomposible representation of the Virasoro algebra

$$L_0\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\\chi\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}h&0\\1&h\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\\chi\end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad L_m\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\\chi\end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad (m>0)$$

One then finds logarithms in correlation functions:

$$\langle \phi(z)\phi(w) \rangle = 0$$
 $\langle \phi(z)\chi(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{z^{2h}}$ $\langle \chi(z)\chi(w) \rangle = \frac{\log |z|^2}{z^{2h}}$

A logarithmic CFT is not unitary. Maybe a restriction to the right-moving sector is consistent and results in a unitary theory? Maloney, Song, Strominger (2009)

Logarithmic CFT

It is instructive to compute the same correlation functions in the vicinity of $\mu = 1$

There are still four sources, three for T_{ij} and a fourth for a new operator X The correlation functions become:

$$\begin{split} \left< \bar{T}(z,\bar{z})\bar{T}(0) \right> &= \frac{3}{2G_N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{1}{2\bar{z}^4}, \\ \left< T(z,\bar{z})T(0) \right> &= \frac{3}{2G_N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{1}{2z^4}, \\ \left< X(z,\bar{z})X(0) \right> &= \frac{-1}{8G_N} \frac{(\mu - 1)(\mu + 1)(\mu + 2)}{\mu} \frac{1}{z^{\mu + 3}\bar{z}^{\mu - 1}} \end{split}$$

One finds *negative norm states* for $\mu > 1$ and *negative conformal weights* for $\mu < 1$ As $\mu \rightarrow 1$ we find that a new operator appears:

$$t = \lim_{\mu \to 1} \frac{-2}{\mu - 1} (T + X)$$

which is the logarithmic partner of T. This mimicks a construction in the LCFT literature (Kogan, Nichols 2002)

We may define conserved charges in the CFT in the usual way, for example:

$$M = -\oint d\phi T_t^t \qquad \qquad J = -\oint d\phi T_\phi^t$$

Our asymptotic analysis was completely general \rightarrow these are *finite* charges for all bulk solutions

They are also the correct *gravitational* charges (Papadimitriou, Skenderis 2005) We in particular find:

 $\langle X|H|X\rangle < 0$

which is the CFT counterpart of the negative energy found in the bulk

Summary

The AdS/CFT techniques were applied to topologically massive gravity with $\Lambda < 0$ This allows for the computation of correlation functions and finite charges We found evidence for a logarithmic CFT at $\mu = 1$

Away from $\mu=1$ we find negative conformal dimensions or negative norm states

Future directions:

- Three-point functions and chirality
- Condensed matter applications
- Adaptation to "new massive gravity"

Bergshoeff, Hohm, Townsend (2009)

• ...