# String Junctions, Abelian Fibrations and Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao University of Toronto Pre-strings '08, ETH Zurich

Based on work with R. Donagi and M. B. Schulz, arXiv:0808.ABCD.



Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 1 / 27

/

#### Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



IIB  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold w.  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  flux  $\equiv$  IIA CY duals with no flux. Goal: Construct the dual manifolds explicitly

- Many properties deduced by classical sugra dualities (Schulz [hep-th/0412270])
- **X** We have found two explicit constructions:
  - $\checkmark \quad {\sf Monodromy/string-junction \ description}$

analogous to F-theory description of K3, but with  $T^4$  rather than  $T^2$  fibers.

- Explicit algebro-geometric construction
   via relative Jacobian of genus-2 fibered surface.
- Relation of CYs to one another? Construction of new CYs.

#### Motivation

Motivations

More motivations

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



# **Motivation**

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 3 / 27

# **Motivations**

#### Overview

Motivation

Motivations

More motivations

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



Fate of non-perturbative dualities in the presence of flux.
 Example of open-closed, strong-weak (& RR-NS) duality.

IIB  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold one of the simplest IIB flux compactifications (e.g., Kachru et.al. [hep-th/0201028]). May still lead to insight on flux vacua duality in general.

- ✓ IIA CY duals  $X_{m,n}$  have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  w. n = 1, 2, 3, 4. ⇒ useful for Heterotic phenomenology. Few CYs with nontrivial  $\pi_1$  are known (work in progress by Donagi, Saito.).
- ✓ D3 instantons dualize to WS instantons wrapping P<sup>1</sup> sections.
   ⇒ Exact results on D-instantons w. background flux & O-planes. (work in progress with Schulz.)

## More motivations

Overview

Motivation

Motivations

More motivations

Supergravity analysis

/

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



✓ Studying the moduli space of CY duals in IIA⇒ Can in principle deduce warped KK reduction of the flux compactification in IIB. (e.g., Douglas et.al. [0805.3700])

Connection to D(imensional)-duality? (via relative Jacobian of second construction for CYs). (Silverstein; Green et.al. )

Motivation

Supergravity analysis Chasing the duality chain Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ 

Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ 

Warm-up: IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



# Supergravity analysis

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 6 / 27

# Chasing the duality chain

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis Chasing the duality chain

Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ 

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



' Snapshot: 3 T-duals (IIB $\rightarrow$ IIA) and M-theory lift & drop

**X** Starting point: Warped product  $M_4 \times_w T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  w. D3/O3.

✓ Finer structure:  $T^6 = T^2{}_{(1)} \times T^4$ ,  $T^4$  is a  $T^2{}_{(2)}$  fiber. over  $T^2{}_{(3)}$  base w. flat connections.

★ Step one: T-dualize along  $S^1 \subset T^2_{(1)}$  and  $T^2_{(2)}$ , result in:  $(M_4 \times T^3_{fib}) \times_w T^3_{base} / \mathbb{Z}_2$  w. D6/O6 (IIA).

✓ Fate of NS flux:  $H_3 \rightarrow 1$ st Chern class of dual fibration  $\widetilde{T^2}_{(2)} \subset T^3_{fib} \propto n.$ 

✓ Fate of RR flux:  $F_3 \to F_2 = dC_1$  captures the distribution of D6/O6 and curvature ( $\propto m$ ) over  $T_{\text{base}}^3$ .

✓ Note: non-trivial dilaton profile, as is generic in T-dualizing.

**X** Step two: Lift to M-theory, result in  $M_4 \times S^1_{(1)} \times CY3$ w. CY3 =  $((S^1_{10} \rtimes_w \widetilde{T^2_{(2)}} \ltimes_{w'} S^1_{(1)})_{\sim T^4} \times_{w'} T^2_{(3)}/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ 

✓ **Purely** geometric:  $C_1$  identified as  $A_{10}$ , D6/O6 → TN/GH. (color conservation 123.  $\xrightarrow{\text{step3}}$  IIA')

Peng Gao (UofT) – 7 / 27

# **Properties of** $X_{m,n}$

#### Overview

#### Motivation

Supergravity analysis Chasing the duality chain

Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ 

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



We can learn the following additional information:

- ✓ Abelian surface (T<sup>4</sup>) fibration over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , has 8 + N singular fibers of nodal type, N = number of D3-branes in T<sup>6</sup>/Z<sub>2</sub>.
- ✓ Hodge # of  $X_{m,n}$ :  $h^{11} = h^{21} = N + 2$ , N + 4mn = 16. Follows from massless spectrum, including open string moduli  $F_3 \sim 2m$ ,  $H_3 \sim 2n$ ,  $N_{D3} + \int H \wedge F = \frac{1}{4}N_{O3}$  in IIB.
- ✓ Generic  $D_N$  lattice of sections (mod torsion) Follows from N D-branes + O-plane giving rise to SO(2N).
- ✓ Fundamental group and discrete isometries  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ , isometry  $= \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ . For flux  $m, n \neq 1$ , partial higgsing of U(1)s in IIB.

# **Properties of** $X_{m,n}$

#### Overview

Motivation

- Supergravity analysis Chasing the duality chain
- Properties of  $X_{m,n}$

Properties of  $X_{m,n}$ 

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



Approximate metric, harmonic forms, recall isometry along M-th circ. (small parameter = fiber/base  $\propto R^{11}$ ).

Polarization:  $J_{\rm fiber} \propto m dy^1 \wedge dy^2 + n dy^3 \wedge dy^4$ .

Non-vanishing triple intersections:  $H^2 \cdot A = 2mn, \quad H \cdot \mathcal{E}_I \cdot \mathcal{E}_J = -m\delta_{IJ}$ Computed using explicit (approximate) harmonic forms.

✓  $H \cdot c_2 = 8 + N$ , and esp.  $\chi(A) = A \cdot c_2 = 0$  → Abelian surface fibration (Oguiso). Follows from  $F_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{h^{1,1}(X)} (D_{\alpha} \cdot c_2) t^{\alpha} \sim (N+8) \tau_{dil}$  (Dasgupta et.

al.) and  $g_s^{\mathrm{IIB}} 
ightarrow J_A$  in IIA CY dual.

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${
m T}^2/{
m \mathbb{Z}}_2$ 

IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ Monodromy description

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



# Warm-up: IIB on $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 10 / 27

# IIB on $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$

#### Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Monodromy description

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



### Recall IIB encoding of elliptic fibration over $\mathbb{P}^1$ (e.g., K3):



#### IIB: 7-brane

✓  $\oint_{\gamma} F_1 = 1$  unit RR charge  $\Rightarrow$  monodromy  $\tau_{dil} \rightarrow \tau_{dil} + 1$ 

(
$$p,q$$
) 7-brane = where ( $p,q$ )-string ends, e.g. D7 brane=(1,0) 7-brane.

F-theory: singular elliptic fiber

- ✓  $\tau = \text{cplx mod. of } T^2 \text{ fiber, } \tau \to \tau + 1 \text{ about } \gamma$ ✓  $a\alpha + b\beta$  cycle in  $T^2$ :  $\binom{a}{b} \to K\binom{a}{b}$ ,  $K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  monodromy matrix. ✓  $p\alpha + q\beta$  (instead of  $\alpha$ ) cycle shrinks:  $K_{[p,q]} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+pq & -p^2 \\ a^2 & 1-pq \end{pmatrix}$ .
- ✓ Other cplx structure moduli∼7-brane moduli.

Peng Gao (UofT) – 11 / 27

# **Monodromy description**

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ Monodromy description

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



Let (p,q) charges A = (1,0), B = (1,-1) and C = (1,1).



- Nonperturbative description: each O7 resolves to BC pair.(Sen) Up to equivalences  $K_{O7}$  factorizes uniquely into  $(K_{[1,1]}K_{[1,-1]})$ .
  - So, F-theory on the manifold K3: Base  $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , 24 singular fibers  $A^{16}(BC)^4$ , with monodromies

$$K_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

✓ These nonperturbative IIB data define the topology of K3.

/

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

IIB on  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$ : Abelian fibration Monodromy for  $T^4$ fibers Dual interpretation of RR tadpole

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian



# Construction I: Monodromy of singular fibers

#### Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 13 / 27

# **IIB on** $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$ : Abelian fibration

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

/

V

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

IIB on  $\overline{T^6}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ : Abelian fibration

Monodromy for  $T^4$ fibers Dual interpretation of RR tadpole

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian



CY duals  $X_{m,n}$  are  $T^4$  fibration over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . But Why?

Another point of view: • No flux: (m = n = 0)

> $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold  $\leftrightarrow$  IIA on K3  $\times$  T<sup>2</sup> (K3 = T<sup>2</sup> fibration over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ )

(both dual to type I or het-SO on  $T^6$ ).

**X** With  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  flux  $F_3 \sim 2m, H_3 \sim 2n$ :

 $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold  $\leftrightarrow$  IIA on CY  $X_{m,n}$  $(X_{m,n} = T^4 \text{ fibration over } \mathbb{P}^1)$ 

Rougly flux induces twists mixing  $T^2$  factor with  $T^2$  fiber of K3.

Flux-Geometry Duality

# Monodromy for $T^4$ fibers

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib. IIB on  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$ : Abelian fibration Monodromy for  $T^4$ fibers Dual interpretation of RR tadpole

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian



$$N \text{ D3s} + \text{O3s of } \text{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 \iff A^N B_1 C_1 B_2 C_2 B_3 C_3 B_4 C_4$$
  
singular  $\text{T}^4$  fibers of  $X_{m,n}$ .

$$K_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & \\ & 1 & | & \\ & -- & -- & -- \\ & | & 1 & \\ & | & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\text{old } K_{A}) \oplus (\text{identity}) \text{ on } \mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathrm{T}^{2},$$

but  $B_i, C_i$  differ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. For example,

 $K_{B_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & | & -m \\ 1 & 2 & | & m \\ --n & -n & | & 1 & -m \\ -n & -n & | & 1 & -m \\ | & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\text{old } K_B) \oplus (\text{identity}) \text{ on } T^2 \times T^2 + m, n \text{ twists.}$ 

The monodromies uniquely determine the topology of  $X_{m,n}$ .

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 15 / 27

# **Dual interpretation of RR tadpole**

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib. IIB on  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$ : Abelian fibration Monodromy for  $T^4$ fibers Dual interpretation of RR tadpole

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian



✓ On the base of  $X_{m,n}$ , a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , the loop that encloses all singular fibers is contractible (to the point at '∞').

 $\Rightarrow$  Total monodromy must be unity:

$$1 = K_{\text{total}} = K_{C_4} K_{B_4} \dots K_{C_1} K_{B_1} K_A^N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & -Q & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where Q = N - 16 + 4mn.

Purely topological constraint reproduces  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$  D3 charge condition Q = 0.

$\sim$		
Οv	erv	lew

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

String junctions & Mordell-Weil lattice MW and junction lattice for  $X_{m,n}$ Relations between CYs

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



# Construction I: (contd.) String-junctions

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 17 / 27

# String junctions & Mordell-Weil lattice

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions String junctions & Mordell-Weil lattice MW and junction lattice for  $X_{m,n}$ Relations between CYs

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



String junctions:

(Sen; Gaberdiel et.al. ;DeWolfe...) are W-bosons of 7-brane gauge theory,
 encode homology of F-theory elliptic fibration,
 equivalence classes (charges) form a lattice.



 $H_2(S)$  generated by:

✓ generic fiber,  $\leftarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ ✓ irred. components of singular fibers; (Kodaira) ✓ sections.  $\leftarrow$  string junctions,  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z})$ 

Mordell-Weil lattice of sections = junction lattice/null loops (Fukae et al.).

Peng Gao (UofT) - 18 / 27

# **MW** and junction lattice for $X_{m,n}$

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions String junctions & Mordell-Weil lattice MW and junction lattice for  $\overline{X_m, n}$ Relations between CYs

Construction II: **Relative Jacobian** 

Conclusions



In CY  $X_{m,n}$ : a (p,q,r,s) 1-cycle in  $T^4$  fiber shrinks at each A,  $B_i$ ,  $C_i$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

✓ Obtain 2-cycles in  $X_{m,n}$  from  $S^1_{[p,q,r,s]} \sim S^1_{10}$  fibration over (p, q, r, s) junction graphs in base  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . (further leads to  $\theta$ -divisors.)

 $\checkmark$  Again, MW lattice of (rational) sections = junction lattice/null loops.

 $A^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{4} B_{i}C_{i} \Rightarrow \qquad \text{Again } D_{N} \text{ from } A^{N}B_{i}C_{i} \quad (A+A=B_{i}+C_{i})$ but NOT  $E_{N+1}$  from  $A^{N}B_{i}C_{i}C_{i} \quad (C_{i} \neq C_{i})$ but NOT  $E_{N+1}$  from  $A^N B_i C_i C_j$  ( $C_i \neq$  $C_i$ ).

 $D_N$  = free part of MW lattice.



 $\checkmark$   $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$  = torsion part of MW lattice = isometry group.

Peng Gao (UofT) – 19 / 27

# **Relations between CYs**

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions String junctions & Mordell-Weil lattice MW and junction lattice for  $X_{m,n}$ 

Relations between CYs

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions



✓ N + 4mn = 16. Complete set of 8  $X_{m,n}$  is { $X_{1,1}, X_{m,1}, X_{1,n}, X_{2,2}$ }.

✓ Relations:

- **X** IIB S-duality  $H_3 \leftrightarrow F_3$  imples  $X_{m,n} \leftrightarrow X_{n,m}$ via fiberwise T-dualizing T<sup>4</sup>,  $X_{1,1}, X_{2,2}$  invariant.
- ★ Topologically  $X_{m,1}/(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m) = X_{1,m}$ Discrete isometry  $\leftrightarrow$  non-trivial  $\pi_1$
- Similarly  $X_{4,1}/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = X_{2,2}$ with diagonal  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

Is  $X_{1,1}$  a good parent for all  $X_{m,n}$ ? descending by quotienting: When singular fibers coalesce, additional isometries can develop, adds to MW torsion from "weakly integral" junctions, e.g., a (1,0)string ending on a collapsed  $A^2$  pair: "(1/2,0) on each." Quotient by new isometry, changes polarization, but only  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

✓ Positive side: leads to new CYs with non-trivial  $\pi_1$ .

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian Relative Jacobian of a surface Finding the surface S Identity checks More ID checks

Conclusions



# Construction II: Relative Jacobian of a surface or "Seeing is Believing."

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 21 / 27

# **Relative Jacobian of a surface**

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

1

Warm-up: IIB on  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian Relative Jacobian of a surface Finding the surface S

Identity checks More ID checks

Conclusions

Restrict to m, n = 1, 1 (principle polarization).

Idea: complex surface much easier than 3-fold. Economical description for simple singular fibers.

 To every genus-g curve, can associate a principally polarized Jacobian

torus  $T^{2g}$  with the same  $H_1$  (same space of 1-cycles (p, q, r, s)):



So, try to realize CY  $X_{1,1}$  as the fiberwise Jacobian, i.e. relative Jacobian

of a surface S, where S is itself a genus-2 fibration over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Peng Gao (UofT) – 22 / 27

# Finding the surface S

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian Relative Jacobian of a surface Finding the surface S

Identity checks More ID checks

Conclusions

• A genus-2 curve = double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with 6 branch points.



 $\Rightarrow S \equiv \text{genus-2 fibration over } \mathbb{P}^1_{(1)}$ 

= branched double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{(2)}$ .

- Observe of branch curve  $B \subset S$  is (d, 6)(6 branch pts in generic fiber of  $S \to \mathbb{P}^1_{(2)}$ , i.e., for genus-2). Can view as S as 2-fold section  $\sqrt{P}$  of  $\mathcal{O}(d/2, 3)$ , where  $B = \{P = 0\}.$
- For d = 2, found a candidate for  $X_{1,1}$  from  $Jacobian(S/\mathbb{P}^1)$ A simple construction! Is it what we are looking for?

Peng Gao (UofT) – 23 / 27

# **Identity checks**

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian Relative Jacobian of a surface Finding the surface S

Identity checks More ID checks

Conclusions

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{c_1}(X_{1,1}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{consider } K_{X_{1,1}|\mathbb{P}^1} = K_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \det(N^*_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \\ K_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2), \text{ can show } N^*_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1). \end{array}$$

✓  $h^{1,1} = h^{2,1} = 14$ ,  $h^{2,1}$  from cplx deform,  $h^{1,1}$  from # of sections... Notice also the Euler character  $\chi$  vanishes.

✓ Branch curve  $\Rightarrow$  20 nodal genus-2 fibers  $\Rightarrow$  same # of singular T<sup>4</sup> fibers.

 $c_2 = 20$  elliptic curves (singular loci of fibers are codim. 2).

### $\checkmark$ Sections of S

2nd projection  $S \to \mathbb{P}^1_{(2)}$  has genus-0 fibers  $C_0 = (2\mathbb{P}^1 - 2 \text{ br pts})$ w. 12 degenerations, where the 2 br pts overlap, and  $C_0 \to 2 \mathbb{P}^1$ s Pairs  $\ell_I, \ell'_I$  meeting at a point (I = 1, ..., 12).

 $\Rightarrow 2 \times 12$  sections of genus-2 fibration (w. relations  $\ell_I + \ell'_I = C_0$ ).  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

# More ID checks

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: <u>Relative Jacobian</u> Relative Jacobian of a surface Finding the surface S Identity checks

More ID checks



```
Sections of X_{1,1}

Given a fixed choice of zero section \sigma_0 \in \{\ell_I, \ell'_I\},

MW(X_{1,1}) \cong \langle \sigma_0, f_2 \rangle^{\perp} (with S intersection pairing).

\Rightarrow 12 dimensional lattice, w. D_{12}^- matrix.

Intersections:
```

 $\bigstar \quad \ell_I \subset S \quad \mapsto \quad \text{``theta surface''} \quad \Theta_I \subset X_{1,1}.$ 

### **✗** Identify

 $A = \text{abelian fiber}, \quad \mathcal{E}_I = \frac{1}{2} (\Theta_I - \Theta'_I), \quad H = \frac{1}{2} (\Theta_I + \Theta'_I) - \frac{1}{6} A,$ gives the desired intersections for  $X_{1,1}$ .

 $-\frac{1}{6}A$ ? Only effects self-intersection of [H]  $\Rightarrow$  Basis for H from sugra harmonic form has small mismatch w.  $H_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

✓ Wall's classification theorem for 3 folds:(Wall; Žubr) ( $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $C_{IJK}$ ) ⇒ unique CY up to homotopy type.

# Conclusions

Overview

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  ${\rm T}^2/{\mathbb Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions Conclusions



- ' Two complimentary constructions of the IIA duals of  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2$ :
  - 1. Monodromy/string-junction (analog of F-theory for  $T^4$  fibers),
  - 2. Relative Jacobian of a genus-2 fibered surface S (for m, n = 1, 1).
- We have constructed the Mordell-Weil lattice of rational sections, to obtain the required  $D_N$  lattice.
  - **X** In Case 1, D3 tadpole condition  $\Leftrightarrow$  total monodromy = 1.
  - × All criteria for Wall's theorem  $(c_1, c_2, C_{IJK})$  satisfied in Case 2.
- Stage set for studying related issues in this setting:
   e.g., warped KK reduction, D-instantons, attractor bhs(Hsu et.al.)...
- ✓ Future studies: duality with other  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  string vacua, w.(o.) fluxes, e.g. Heterotic-IIA; More generic CY orientifolds with flux; connecting to  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  flux vacua.

$\sim$			
()	NA	r\/I	P1A
$\sim$	vC		C V V

Motivation

Supergravity analysis

Warm-up: IIB on  $\mathrm{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Construction I: Monodromy of fib.

Construction I: String-junctions

Construction II: Relative Jacobian

Conclusions

Conclusions



# Thank You!

Flux-Geometry Duality

Peng Gao (UofT) – 27 / 27